arXiv:1511.00079v2 [math.AP] 28 Jun 2016

POLYHARMONIC NEUMANN AND MIXED BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS IN THE HEISENBERG GROUP $\mathbb{H}_n$

SHIVANI DUBEY, AJAY KUMAR* AND MUKUND MADHAV MISHRA

Abstract. We study the polyharmonic Neumann and mixed boundary value problems on the Korányi ball in the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_n$. Necessary and sufficient solvability conditions are obtained for the non-homogeneous polyharmonic Neumann problem and Neumann-Dirichlet boundary value problems.

1. Introduction

As we know, by convolution, the expressions of iterated polyharmonic Green and polyharmonic Neumann functions can not be easily constructed in explicit form because of complicated computation. Rewriting the higher order Poisson equation $\Delta^m u = f$ in a plane domain as a system of Poisson equations it is immediately clear what boundary conditions may be prescribed in order to get (unique) solutions. Neumann conditions for the Poisson equation lead to higher-order Neumann (Neumann-m) problems for $\Delta^m u = f$. Extending the concept of Neumann functions for the Laplacian to Neumann functions for powers of the Laplacian leads to an explicit representation of the solution to the Neumann-m problem for $\Delta^m u = f$. The representation formula provides the tool to treat more general partial differential equations with leading term $\Delta^m u$ in reducing them into some singular integral equations. Integral representations for solutions to higher order differential equations can be obtained by iterating those representations formulas for first order equations.

In case of the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ of the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ the higher order Green function is explicitly known, see e.g. [1]. Although this is not true for the higher-order Neumann function nevertheless it can be constructed iteratively. A large number of investigations on various boundary value problems in the Heisenberg group have widely been published [2, 9, 12]. However, the polyharmonic Dirichlet problem on the Heisenberg group appeared [14]. After discussing the Neumann problem for Kohn-Laplacian on the Heisenberg Group $\mathbb{H}_n$ in [5], we consider here the polyharmonic Neumann problem with circular data.

In the next section of this article, we study the basic terminologies which have laid the foundation for harmonic analysis on the Heisenberg group. In

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 31B30; 35R03; 35M32.

Key words and phrases. Heisenberg group; sub-Laplacian; Kelvin transform; Korányi ball; Green's function.

*Corresponding author. Email: akumar@maths.du.ac.in.

section 3, we discuss the solvability of the polyharmonic Neumann problem and a representation formula for a solution of the polyharmonic Neumann problem on the Korányi ball in $\mathbb{H}_n$ is given. Section 4 deals with the polyharmonic mixed boundary value problems like Neumann-Dirichlet and Dirichlet-Neumann on the Korányi ball in $\mathbb{H}_n$.

2. The Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_n$ and Horizontal normal vectors

2.1. The Lie algebra of $\mathbb{H}_n$ and the Kohn-Laplacian.

The underlying set of the Heisenberg group is $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ with multiplication given by

$$[z,t].[\zeta,s] = [z+\zeta, t+s+2\Im(z.\bar{\zeta})], \forall\ [z,t],\ [\zeta,s] \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}.$$

With this operation and the usual C^∞ structure on $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}(= \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R})$, it becomes a Lie group denoted by $\mathbb{H}_n$. A basis of left invariant vector fields on $\mathbb{H}_n$ is given by $\{Z_j, \bar{Z}_j, T : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ where,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_j &= \partial_{z_j} + i\bar{z}_j\partial_t; \\ \bar{Z}_j &= \partial_{\bar{z}_j} - iz_j\partial_t; \\ T &= \partial_t. \end{aligned}$$

If we write $z_j = x_j + iy_j$ and define,

$$\begin{aligned} X_j &= \partial_{x_j} + 2y_j\partial_t, \\ Y_j &= \partial_{y_j} - 2x_j\partial_t, \end{aligned}$$

then,

$$Z_j = \frac{1}{2}(X_j - iY_j),$$

and $\{X_j, Y_j, T\}$ is a basis.

Given a differential operator D on $\mathbb{H}_n$, we say that it is left invariant if it commutes with left translation L_g for all $g \in \mathbb{H}_n$ and rotation invariant if it commutes with rotations R_θ for all $\theta \in U(\mathbb{H}_n)$, the unitary group $\mathbb{H}_n$. It is said to be homogeneous of degree α if

$$D(f(\delta_r g)) = r^\alpha Df(\delta_r g),$$

δ_r being the Heisenberg dilation defined by $\delta_r[z,t] = [rz, r^2t]$. It can be shown that up to a constant multiple, there is a unique left invariant, rotation invariant differential operator that is homogeneous of degree 2 [3, 15]. This unique operator is called the sub-Laplacian or Kohn-laplacian on the Heisenberg group. The sublaplacian L on $\mathbb{H}_n$ is explicitly given by

$$L = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(X_j^2 + Y_j^2\right).$$

Let L_0 denote the slightly modified subelliptic operator $-\frac{1}{4}L$.

The fundamental solution for L_0 on $\mathbb{H}_n$ with pole at identity is given in [4] as $g_e(\xi) = g_e([z,t]) = a_0(|z|^4 + t^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}}$, where,

$$a_0 = 2^{n-2}\frac{(\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}))^2}{\pi^{n+1}},$$

is the normalization constant and $\xi = [z,t]$. The fundamental solution of L_0 with pole at η is given by

$$g_\eta(\xi) = g_e(\eta^{-1}\xi).$$

For a function f on $\mathbb{H}_n$, the Kelvin transform is defined as in [10] by

$$Kf = N^{-2n} f o h,$$

where h is the inversion defined as

$$h([z,t]) = \left[\frac{-z}{|z|^2 - it}, \frac{-t}{|z|^4 + t^2}\right],$$

for $[z,t] \in \mathbb{H}_n \setminus \{e\}$. From [13, (3.3)] we have, for $\eta \neq e \in \mathbb{H}_n$

$$K(g_\eta) = N(\eta)^{-2n} g_{\eta^*},$$

where we wrote η^* for $h(\eta)$.
An integrable function f on $\mathbb{H}_n$ is called circular if it is invariant under circle action i.e., $f = \bar{f} = \dfrac{1}{2\pi}\displaystyle\int_0^{2\pi} f([e^{i\theta}z, t])d\theta$.

2.2. Horizontal Normal Vectors. As in [7] and [11], we define a singular Riemannian metric (M_0) as follows. On the linear span of X_j, $Y_j (1 \leq j \leq n)$ we define an inner product $\langle ., . \rangle_0$ by the condition that the vectors X_j, Y_j form an orthonormal system. For vectors not in this span we say that they have infinite length. A vector is said to be horizontal if it has finite length. The horizontal gradient $\nabla_0 F$ of a function F on $\mathbb{H}_n$ is defined as the unique horizontal vector such that

$$\langle \nabla_0 F, V \rangle_0 = V.F,$$

where $V.F$ is action of V on F, for all horizontal vectors V. $\nabla_0 F$ can be explicitly written as

$$\nabla_0 F = \sum_j \{(X_j F)X_j + (Y_j F)Y_j\}.$$

If a hypersurface in $\mathbb{H}_n$ is given as the level set of a smooth function F, at any regular point i.e. a point at which $\nabla_0 F \neq 0$ the horizontal normal unit vector will be defined by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial n_0} := \frac{1}{||\nabla_0 F||_0} \nabla_0 F.$$

More precisely, this is the horizontal normal pointing outwards for the domain $\{F < 0\}$. A point ζ on the boundary surface is termed "characteristic" if $\nabla_0 F(\zeta) = 0$. For smooth F, the set of characteristic points form a lower dimensional (and hence of measure zero) subset of the boundary.
From here onwards the operators $\frac{\partial}{\partial n_0}$ and L_0, whenever applied to a function will be with respect to the variable ξ only.

3. Polyharmonic Neumann Boundary Value Problem

In this section we solve the higher-order Neumann problems for $L_0^m u = f$ to get an explicit representation of the solution. Let S_0 denotes the set of characteristic points of $B = \{[z,t] \in \mathbb{H}_n : (|z|^4 + t^2)^{1/4} \leq 1\}$.

We define, for each positive integer k, the function $N_k(\eta,\xi)$ inductively. Let $N_1(\eta,\xi) = N(\eta,\xi)$, and when $N_{k-1}(\eta,\xi)$ is defined, define

$$N_k(\eta,\xi) = \int_B N_{k-1}(\eta,\zeta)N(\zeta,\xi)d\zeta, \tag{3.1}$$

where $N(\eta,\xi)$ is the Neumann function for B given in [5] as

$$N(\eta,\xi) \;=\; \overline{g_\eta}(\xi) + K(\overline{g_\eta})(\xi^{-1}) + h(\eta,\xi), \tag{3.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} h(\eta,\xi) \;=\; & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{n_k}\frac{2n}{m}a_{m;k}C^{(\frac{n}{2}+k,\frac{n}{2}+k)}_{\frac{1}{2}(m-2k)}(t+i|z|^2)Y_{k;j}(z) \\ & C^{(\frac{n}{2}+k,\frac{n}{2}+k)}_{m}(t'+i|z'|^2)\overline{Y_{k;j}(z')} + b_0, \end{aligned}$$

b_0 is a constant, n_k, is dimension of the space $H_k = H_{k,k}$ where the space $H_{k,l}$ of complex (solid) spherical harmonics of bidegree (k,l) on $\mathbb{C}^n$ consists of all polynomials P in $z_1,\dots z_n,\ \bar{z}_1,\dots \bar{z}_n$, homogeneous of degree k in the $z_j's$ and homogeneous of degree l in the $\bar{z}_j's$ satisfying

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_j\partial\bar{z_j}}P = 0.$$

For each k,l the ${Y_{k,l;j}}'s$ form a basis for $H_{k,l}$, where ${Y_{k,l;j}}'s$ have the form

$$Y_{k,l;j}(z) = \sum_{q=0}^{r} c_q|z^*|^{2q}{z_1}^{k-q}(\bar{z}_1)^{l-q},$$

$z = (z_1, z^*) \in \mathbb{C}^n,\ |z^*|^2 = |z_2|^2+\ldots+|z_n|^2,$ where, $r = \min(k,l)$ and $c_0,\dots,c_r$ are constants, $c_0 = 1$ and ${c_q}'s$ are determined by the relation

$$(k-q)(l-q)c_q + (q+1)(n+q-1)c_{q+1} = 0,\ 0 \le q < r,$$

for detailed study of spherical harmonics refer to [8].
The only possible points of singularities of integrands in (3.1) are at $\zeta = \eta$ and $\zeta = \xi$. Estimating near the points of singularities we show that integral on the right-hand side of (3.1) is convergent and this kernel is well defined.

Lemma 3.1. *The functions N_k as defined in (3.1) are integrable as functions of ξ and hence are defined finitely a.e.*

Proof. We first show that $N_1 = N$ is integrable over B. Using polar coordinates in $\mathbb{H}_1$ and the fact that measure on B is translation invariant, it follows that $g_\eta(\xi)$ is integrable. The second term in (3.2) is integrable as it is equal to $N(\eta)^{-2n}g_{\eta^*}(\xi^{-1})$ and $h(\eta,\xi)$ being continuous function over B so the function $N(\eta,\xi)$ is integrable over B.
Next we claim that $N_2(\eta,\xi)$ is integrable by writing $N_2(\eta,\xi)$ as sum of nine

integrals I_i $(1 \leq i \leq 9)$, where

$$\left.\begin{aligned}
I_1(\zeta) &= \int_B \overline{g_\eta}(\xi)\overline{g_\xi}(\zeta)d\xi,\ I_2(\zeta) = N(\eta)^{-2n}\int_B \overline{g_{\eta^*}}(\xi^{-1})\overline{g_\xi}(\zeta)d\xi,\\
I_3(\zeta) &= \int_B h(\eta,\xi)\overline{g_\xi}(\zeta)d\xi,\ I_4(\zeta) = \int_B \overline{g_\eta}(\xi)N(\xi)^{-2n}\overline{g_{\xi^*}}(\zeta^{-1})d\xi,\\
I_5(\zeta) &= N(\eta)^{-2n}\int_B \overline{g_{\eta^*}}(\xi^{-1})N(\xi)^{-2n}\overline{g_{\xi^*}}(\zeta^{-1})d\xi,\\
I_6(\zeta) &= \int_B h(\eta,\xi)N(\xi)^{-2n}\overline{g_{\xi^*}}(\zeta^{-1})d\xi,\\
I_7(\zeta) &= \int_B \overline{g_\eta}(\xi)h(\xi,\zeta)d\xi,\ I_8(\zeta) = N(\eta)^{-2n}\int_B \overline{g_{\eta^*}}(\xi^{-1})h(\xi,\zeta)d\xi,\\
I_9(\zeta) &= \int_B h(\eta,\xi)h(\xi,\zeta)d\xi.
\end{aligned}\right\} \quad (3.3)$$

$I_1(\zeta)$ exists for almost all ζ as $\int_B \overline{g_\xi}(\zeta)$ is uniformly bounded. Similarly $I_3(\zeta)$ and $I_7(\zeta)$ exist for almost all ζ. Also

$$I_2(\zeta) \leq N(\eta)^{-2n}\sup_{\xi\in B}\overline{g_{\eta^*}}(\xi)\int_B \overline{g_\xi}(\zeta)d\xi,$$

so exists. Similarly $I_8(\zeta)$ exists for almost all ζ. Next,

$$I_4(\zeta) \leq \sup_{\xi\in B}\overline{g_{\xi^*}}(\zeta)\int_B \overline{g_\eta}(\xi)N(\xi)^{-2n}d\xi,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_B \overline{g_\eta}(\xi)N(\xi)^{-2n}d\xi \quad \leq \quad & \left[\sup_{B\setminus(B_1\cup B_2)}\overline{g_\eta}(\xi)N(\xi)^{-2n}\right]\nu(B\setminus(B_1\cup B_2))\\
& + \sup_{B_1}\overline{g_\eta}(\xi)\int_{B_1}N(\xi)^{-2n}d\xi + \sup_{B_2}N(\xi)^{-2n}\int_{B_2}\overline{g_\eta}(\xi)d\xi,
\end{aligned}$$

where ν is the Haar measure on the Heisenberg group and B_1, B_2 are disjoint small neighbourhoods of e and η respectively. Applying dominated convergence theorem and Fubini's theorem, it can be shown that $\sup_{\xi\in B}\overline{g_{\xi^*}}(\zeta)$ is integrable function of ζ.
For $\eta \neq 0$, $\overline{g_{\eta^*}}(\zeta)$ is a bounded function of ζ. So,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_5(\zeta) \quad &\leq \quad N(\eta)^{-2n}\sup_{\zeta\in B}\overline{g_{\eta^*}}(\zeta)\int_B N(\xi)^{-2n}\overline{g_{\xi^*}}(\zeta)d\xi\\
&\leq \quad K_1'\sup_{\xi\in B}\overline{g_{\xi^*}}(\zeta)\int_B N(\xi)^{-2n}d\xi\\
&= \quad K_1\sup_{\xi\in B}\overline{g_{\xi^*}}(\zeta),
\end{aligned}$$

K_1' and K_1 being constants independent of ζ. Since $\sup_{\xi\in B}\overline{g_{\xi^*}}(\zeta)$ is integrable function of ζ, so is I_5. On same lines, it can be shown that $I_6(\zeta)$ is integrable function of ζ. Since $h(\eta,\xi)$ is continuous function on B so by Fubini's theorem $I_9(\zeta)$ exists for almost all ζ. Having proved integrability of I_i $(1 \leq i \leq 9)$, we can say that the integrals exist finitely a.e. and $N_2(\eta,\zeta)$ is integrable function of ζ.

We shall, by induction, prove that $N_k(\eta,\zeta)$ exists for $\eta \neq \zeta$ and as a function of ζ it is integrable over B. Assume, we have proved that N_k exists and is integrable over B. We have,

$$\begin{aligned} N_{k+1}(\eta,\zeta) &= \int_B N_k(\eta,\xi)N_1(\xi,\zeta)d\xi \\ &= \int_B N_k(\eta,\xi)\overline{g_\xi}(\zeta)d\xi + N(\zeta)^{-2n}\int_B N_k(\eta,\xi)\overline{g_\xi}(-\zeta^*)d\xi \\ &\quad + \int_B N_k(\eta,\xi)h(\xi,\zeta)d\xi. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B\int_B N_k(\eta,\xi)\overline{g_\xi}(\zeta)d\zeta d\xi &= \int_B N_k(\eta,\xi)\left(\int_B \overline{g_\xi}(\zeta)d\zeta\right)d\xi \\ &= \left(\int_B \overline{g_e}(\zeta)d\zeta\right)\left(\int_B N_k(\eta,\xi)d\xi\right). \end{aligned}$$

So $\int_B N_k(\eta,\xi)\overline{g_\xi}(\zeta)d\xi$ exists a.e. and is integrable. Since for $\zeta \in B$, $\zeta \notin B$, the second term in (3.4) can be estimated as

$$N(\zeta)^{-2n}\int_B N_k(\eta,\xi)\overline{g_\xi}(-\zeta^*)d\xi \leq N(\zeta)^{-2n}\sup_{\xi\in B}\overline{g_\xi}(-\zeta^*)\int_B N_k(\eta,\xi)d\xi.$$

As $N(\zeta)^{-2n}\sup_{\xi\in B}\overline{g_\xi}(-\zeta^*)$ is integrable function of ζ, we obtain the integrability of the second term in (3.4). Similarly we can estimate the third term in (3.4). Finally, we obtain the integrability of N_{k+1}. □

Theorem 3.2. *For continuous circular functions f on B and g_j's, $0 \leq j \leq m-1$ on ∂B, the polyharmonic Neumann BVP*

$$\left.\begin{aligned} L_0^m u &= f \quad \text{in } B \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial n_0}(L_0^j u) &= g_j \quad \text{on } \partial B \setminus S_0,\ 0 \leq j \leq m-1 \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{3.5}$$

is solvable if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_B\left(\int_B N_{m-j-1}(\eta,\xi)f(\eta)dv(\eta) - \sum_{\mu=j+1}^{m-1}\int_{\partial B\setminus S_0} N_{\mu-j}(\eta,\xi)g_\mu(\eta)d\sigma(\eta)\right)dv(\xi) \\ &= \int_{\partial B\setminus S_0} g_j(\xi)d\sigma(\xi),\ 0 \leq j \leq m-2,\ m \geq 2, \\ &\int_B f(\xi)dv(\xi) = \int_{\partial B\setminus S_0} g_{m-1}(\xi)d\sigma(\xi), \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

and the circular solution is given by

$$u(\xi) = \int_B N_m(\eta,\xi)f(\eta)dv(\eta) - \sum_{\mu=0}^{m-1}\int_{\partial B\setminus S_0} N_{\mu+1}(\eta,\xi)g_\mu(\eta)d\sigma(\eta). \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. It is easy to see that, using Lemma 3.1, $\int_B N_k(\eta,\xi)f(\eta)dv(\eta)$ and $\int_{\partial B\setminus S_0} N_k(\eta,\xi)g_\mu(\eta)dv(\eta)$ exist for all $1 \leq k \leq m$. The proof follows by

induction. Assume the result is true for $m = k-1$ and consider (3.5) with $m = k$. Let $L_0 u = w$ in $\bar{B}$. Then (3.5) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} L_0^{k-1} w &= f \text{ in } B \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial n_0}(L_0^j w) &= g_{j+1}, \text{ on } \partial B,\ 0 \le j \le k-2. \end{aligned}$$

From induction hypothesis, the above BVP is solvable if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_B \left(\int_B N_{k-j-2}(\eta,\zeta) f(\eta) dv(\eta) - \sum_{\mu=j+1}^{k-2} \int_{\partial B \setminus S_0} N_{\mu-j}(\eta,\zeta) g_{\mu+1}(\eta) d\sigma(\eta) \right) dv(\zeta) \\ &= \int_{\partial B \setminus S_0} g_{j+1}(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta),\ 0 \le j \le k-3,\ k \ge 3 \\ &\int_B f(\zeta) dv(\zeta) = \int_{\partial B \setminus S_0} g_{k-1}(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta), \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

and the circular solution is given by

$$w(\zeta) = \int_B N_{k-1}(\eta,\zeta) f(\eta) dv(\eta) - \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-2} \int_{\partial B \setminus S_0} N_{\mu+1}(\eta,\zeta) g_{\mu+1}(\eta) d\sigma(\eta). \tag{3.9}$$

The solution of (3.5) with $m = k$ is then the solution of

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 u &= w \text{ in } B \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial n_0} u &= g_0 \text{ on } \partial B. \end{aligned}$$

Using ([5], Theorem 4.1) the above BVP is solvable if and only if

$$\int_B w(\zeta) dv(\zeta) = \int_{\partial B \setminus S_0} g_0(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta), \tag{3.10}$$

and since w is circular using (3.9), the solution is given by

$$u(\xi) = \int_B N(\zeta,\xi) w(\zeta) dv(\zeta) - \int_{\partial B \setminus S_0} N(\zeta,\xi) g_0(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta). \tag{3.11}$$

Combining the solvability conditions (3.8) with (3.10), substituting the value of w from (3.9) into (3.11), using expression of $N_k(\eta,\xi)$ in (3.1) and Lemma 3.1 we obtain the result to be true for $m = k$. Now, we verify that $u(\xi)$ given by (3.7) is the solution of the Neumann BVP (3.5) if conditions (3.6) are satisfied.

By using definition of $N_m(\eta,\xi)$, we have $L_0 N_m(\eta,\xi) = N_{m-1}(\eta,\xi)$ in B. Therefore, by induction $L_0^m N_m(\eta,\xi) = \delta_\eta(\xi)$ in B.

Now it is obvious that $L_0^m u(\xi) = f(\xi)$ in B with u as in (3.7). Next, for

$0 \leq j \leq m-2$, $L_0^j u(\xi)$ can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_B N_{m-j}(\eta,\xi) f(\eta) dv(\eta) - \sum_{\mu=j}^{m-1} \int_{\partial B \setminus S_0} N_{\mu-j+1}(\eta,\xi) g_\mu(\eta) d\sigma(\eta) \\
&= \int_B \left(\int_B N_{m-j-1}(\eta,\zeta) N(\zeta,\xi) dv(\zeta) \right) f(\eta) dv(\eta) \\
&\quad - \sum_{\mu=j}^{m-1} \int_{\partial B \setminus S_0} \left(\int_B N_{\mu-j}(\eta,\zeta) N(\zeta,\xi) dv(\zeta) \right) g_\mu(\eta) d\sigma(\eta), \\
&= \int_B \left(\int_B N_{m-j-1}(\eta,\zeta) f(\eta) dv(\eta) - \sum_{\mu=j+1}^{m-1} \int_{\partial B \setminus S_0} N_{\mu-j}(\eta,\zeta) g_\mu(\eta) d\sigma(\eta) \right) N(\zeta,\xi) dv(\zeta).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by ([5], Theorem 4.1)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial n_0}(L_0^j u(\xi)) = g_j(\xi) \text{ on } \partial B \setminus S_0,\ 0 \leq j \leq m-2,$$

if first equality of (3.6) is satisfied.
For $j = m-1$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial n_0}(L_0^j u(\xi)) = g_{m-1}(\xi)$ if $\int_B f(\xi) dv(\xi) = \int_{\partial B \setminus S_0} g_{m-1}(\xi) d\sigma(\xi)$. □

4. Polyharmonic Mixed Boundary Value Problems

In this section we consider the mixed BVP arising out of m-Neumann and n-Dirichlet conditions.
We define, for each positive integer k, the functions $G_k(\eta,\xi)$ and $P_k(\eta,\xi)$ inductively.
Let $G_1(\eta,\xi) = \overline{G}(\eta,\xi)$ and $P_1(\eta,\xi) = \overline{P}(\eta,\xi)$ and when $G_{k-1}(\eta,\xi)$ and $P_{k-1}(\eta,\xi)$ are defined, define

$$G_k(\eta,\xi) = \int_B G_{k-1}(\eta,\zeta) \overline{G}(\zeta,\xi) dv(\zeta) \tag{4.1}$$

$$P_k(\eta,\xi) = \int_B P_{k-1}(\eta,\zeta) G(\zeta,\xi) dv(\zeta), \tag{4.2}$$

where $G(\eta,\xi)$ is the Green's function for B given by $G(\eta,\xi) = g_\eta(\xi) - K(g_\eta)(\xi^{-1})$ and $P(\eta,\xi)$ is the corresponding Poisson kernel for B given by $P(\eta,\xi) = -\frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial}{\partial n_0} G(\eta,\xi)$ as in [13]. Similar computations as in Lemma 3.1, show that $G_k(\eta,\xi)$ are well defined.
The details of the following lemma can be worked out by showing for any continuous ϕ defined on ∂B, $\int_{\partial B} P_k(\eta,\xi)\phi(\xi) d\sigma(\xi)$ exists.

Lemma 4.1. *The functions $P_k(\eta,\xi)$ as defined in (4.2) are integrable over ∂B for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence exists finitely a.e.*

For $0 \leq k \leq m-1$ and $0 \leq j \leq n-1$, we define

$$M_{k,j}(\eta,\xi) = \int_B N_k(\eta,\zeta) G_j(\zeta,\xi) dv(\zeta), \tag{4.3}$$

$$S_{k,j}(\eta,\xi) = \int_B N_k(\eta,\zeta) P_j(\zeta,\xi) dv(\zeta), \tag{4.4}$$

where $N_k(\eta,\xi)$ is defined in (3.1). The only possible points of singularities of integrands in (4.3) and (4.4) are at $\zeta=\eta$ and $\zeta=\xi$. Writing $M_{k,j}$ as combination of six integrals $I_i,\ 1\le i\le 6$ of (3.3) in Lemma 3.1 and estimating near these points, we can show that $M_{k,j}$ are well defined. Further in a similar way one can show that $S_{k,j}$ is convergent and the kernel is well defined.

4.1. **Polyharmonic Neumann-Dirichlet problem.**

Theorem 4.2. *For $m,\ n\ge 1$ and continuous circular functions f defined on B, g_r's, $0\le r\le m-1$ and h_s's, $0\le s\le n-1$ defined on ∂B, the mixed BVP*

$$\left.\begin{aligned} L_0^{m+n}u &= f \quad \text{in } B\\ \frac{\partial}{\partial n_0}(L_0^r u) &= g_r \quad \text{on } \partial B\setminus S_0,\ 0\le r\le m-1\\ L_0^{m+s}u &= h_s \quad \text{on } \partial B,\ 0\le s\le n-1 \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{4.5}$$

is solvable if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_B\left(\int_B M_{m-r-1,n}(\eta,\xi)f(\eta)dv(\eta)+\sum_{s=0}^{n-1}\int_{\partial B}S_{m-r-1,s+1}(\eta,\xi)h_{n-s-1}(\eta)d\sigma(\eta)\right.\\ &\left.-\sum_{\mu=r+1}^{m-1}\int_{\partial B\setminus S_0}N_{\mu-r}(\eta,\xi)g_\mu(\eta)d\sigma(\eta)\right)dv(\xi)\\ &=\int_{\partial B\setminus S_0}g_r(\xi)d\sigma(\xi),\qquad 0\le r\le m-2,\\ &\int_B\left(\int_B G_n(\eta,\xi)f(\eta)dv(\eta)+\sum_{s=0}^{n-1}\int_{\partial B}P_{s+1}(\zeta,\eta)h_{n-s-1}(\eta)d\sigma(\eta)\right)dv(\xi)\\ &=\int_{\partial B\setminus S_0}g_{m-1}(\xi)d\sigma(\xi). \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

and the circular solution is given by

$$\begin{aligned} u(\xi) &= \int_B M_{n,m}(\eta,\xi)f(\eta)dv(\eta)+\sum_{s=0}^{n-1}\int_{\partial B}S_{m,s+1}(\eta,\xi)h_{n-s-1}(\eta)d\sigma(\eta)\\ &- \sum_{\mu=0}^{m-1}\int_{\partial B\setminus S_0}N_{\mu+1}(\eta,\xi)g_\mu(\eta)d\sigma(\eta). \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Proof. As in Lemma 3.1, we can show that $\int_B M_{k,l}(\eta,\xi)f(\eta)dv(\eta)$; $\int_{\partial B}S_{k,l}(\eta,\xi)h_r(\eta)d\sigma(\eta)$ and $\int_{\partial B\setminus S_0}N_k(\eta,\xi)g_\mu(\eta)d\sigma(\eta)$ exist and are defined finitely a.e. We decompose the above mixed boundary value problems into m-Neumann and n-Dirichlet problems. Let $L_0^m u=w$ in $\overline{B}$. Then above

problem reduces into the following system of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} L_0^m u &= w \qquad \text{in } B \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial n_0}(L_0^r u) &= g_r \qquad \text{on } \partial B \setminus S_0,\ 0 \le r \le m-1 \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.2 the above polyharmonic Neumann problem is solvable if and only if (3.6) is satisfied for w instead of f and the solution is given by

$$u(\xi) = \int_B N_m(\xi,\zeta) w(\zeta) dv(\zeta) - \sum_{\mu=0}^{m-1} \int_{\partial B \setminus S_0} N_{\mu+1}(\xi,\zeta) g_\mu(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta).$$

Next consider

$$\begin{aligned} L_0^n w &= f \qquad \text{in } B \\ L_0^s w &= h_s \quad \text{on } \partial B, \qquad 0 \le s \le n-1. \end{aligned}$$

The solution of above polyharmonic Dirichlet problem is given in [14] as

$$w(\zeta) = \int_B G_n(\zeta,\eta) f(\eta) dv(\eta) + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \int_{\partial B} P_{s+1}(\zeta,\eta) h_{n-s-1}(\eta) d\sigma(\eta).$$

Hence, by substituting the value of $w(\zeta)$ in $u(\xi)$ and in the solvability condition, combining the integrals using Fubini's theorem and (4.3), (4.4), we get the required solvability conditions (4.6) and the circular solution is given by (4.7).
As we have verified in the last section, one can easily verify that $u(\xi)$ given by (4.7) is the solution of the BVP (4.5) if the solvability condition (4.6) is satisfied. □

4.2. **Polyharmonic Dirichlet-Neumann problem.**

Theorem 4.3. *For $m,\ n \ge 1$ and continuous circular functions f defined on B, g_r's, $0 \le r \le n-1$ and h_s's, $0 \le s \le m-1$ defined on ∂B, the mixed BVP*

$$\left.\begin{aligned} L_0^{m+n} u = f \quad & \text{in } B \\ L_0^r u = g_r \quad & \text{on } \partial B,\ 0 \le r \le n-1 \\ \partial^\perp (L_0^{n+s} u) = h_s \quad & \text{on } \partial B,\ 0 \le s \le m-1 \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{4.8}$$

is solvable if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_B \left(\int_B N_{m-s-1}(\eta,\xi) f(\eta) dv(\eta) - \sum_{\mu=s+1}^{m-1} \int_{\partial B} N_{\mu-s}(\eta,\xi) h_\mu(\eta) d\sigma(\eta) \right) dv(\xi) \\ &= \int_{\partial B} h_s(\xi) d\sigma(\xi), \qquad 0 \le s \le m-2,\ m \ge 2, \\ &\int_B f(\xi) dv(\xi) = \int_{\partial B} h_{m-1}(\xi) d\sigma(\xi), \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

and the circular solution is given by

$$\begin{aligned} u(\xi) &= \int_B M_{m,n}(\eta,\xi)f(\eta)dv(\eta) - \sum_{\mu=0}^{m-1}\int_{\partial B} M_{\mu+1,n}(\eta,\xi)h_\mu(\eta)d\sigma(\eta) \\ &+ \sum_{r=0}^{n-1}\int_{\partial B} P_{r+1}(\eta,\xi)h_{n-r-1}(\eta)d\sigma(\eta). \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Proof. We decompose the above mixed boundary value problems into m-Neumann and n-Dirichlet problems. Let $L_0^n u = w$ in $\overline{B}$. Then above problem reduces into the following system of equations:

$$\left.\begin{aligned} L_0^n u &= w \qquad \text{in } B \\ L_0^r u &= g_r \qquad \text{on } \partial B,\ 0 \le r \le n-1 \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{4.11}$$

and

$$\left.\begin{aligned} L_0^m w &= f \qquad \text{in } B \\ \partial^\perp (L_0^s w) &= h_s \qquad \text{on } \partial B,\ 0 \le s \le m-1. \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{4.12}$$

The solution of polyharmonic Dirichlet problem (4.11) as given in [14] is

$$u(\xi) = \int_B G_n(\xi,\zeta)w(\zeta)dv(\zeta) + \sum_{r=0}^{n-1}\int_{\partial B} P_{r+1}(\xi,\zeta)g_{n-r-1}(\zeta)d\sigma(\zeta).$$

By Theorem 3.2, the polyharmonic Neumann problem (4.12) is solvable if and only if (4.9) is satisfied and the solution is given by

$$w(\zeta) = \int_B N_m(\zeta,\eta)f(\eta)dv(\eta) - \sum_{\mu=0}^{m-1}\int_{\partial B} N_{\mu+1}(\zeta,\eta)h_\mu(\eta)d\sigma(\eta).$$

Hence, by substituting the value of $w(\zeta)$ in $u(\xi)$, combining the integrals using Fubini's theorem and (4.3), we get the circular solution as given by (4.10). As we have verified in the last section, one can easily show that $u(\xi)$ given by (4.10) is the solution of the BVP (4.8) if the solvability condition (4.9) is satisfied. □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The first author is supported by the Senior Research Fellowship of Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, India (Grant no. 09/045(1152)/2012-EMR-I) and the second author is supported by R & D grant from University of Delhi, Delhi, India.

References

[1] H. Begehr, *Orthogonal decompositions of the function space $L_2(\bar{D};\mathbb{C})$*,J. reine angew. Math. 549 (2002), pp. 191–219.

[2] A. Bonfiglioli, E. Lanconelli, F. Uguzzoni, *Stratified Lie Groups and Potential Theory for their sub-Laplacians*, Springer Monograph in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag Berlin-Heidelberg 2007.

[3] G. B. Folland and J. J. Kohn, *The Neumann Problem for the Cauchy Riemann Complex*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1972.

[4] G. B. Folland, *A Fundamental Solution for a subelliptic operator*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc.79 (1973), pp. 373–376.

[5] S. Dubey, A. Kumar, M. M. Mishra, *The Neumann problem for the Kohn-Laplacian on the Heisenberg Group* $\mathbb{H}_n$, Potential Anal. (2016), 1–15, doi:10.1007/s11118-016-9538-1.
[6] B. Gaveau, *Principe de moindre action, propagation da la chaleur et estimées sous-elliptiques sur certaiins groupes nilpotents*, Acta Math, 139 (1977), pp. 95–153.
[7] B. Gaveau, *Systèmes dynamiques associés à certains opérateurs hypoelliptiques*, Bull. Sc. Math., Paris, 2^c série, T. 102, 1978, pp. 203–229.
[8] P. C. Greiner and T. H. Koornwinder, *Variations on the Heisenberg spherical harmonics*, Report ZW 186/83 Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam, 1983.
[9] David S. Jerison, *The Dirichlet problem for the Kohn Laplacian on the Heisenberg group*, I, J. Func. Anal. 43 (1981), pp. 97–142.
[10] A. Korányi, *Kelvin transforms and harmonic polynomials on the Heisenberg group*, J. Funct. Anal. 49 (1982), pp. 177–185.
[11] A. Korányi, *Geometric aspects of analysis on the Heisenberg group*, in "Topics in modern harmonic analysis", Instituto nazionale di Alta mathematica, Roma, 1983, pp. 209–258.
[12] A. Korányi, *Poisson formulas for circular functions and some groups of type H*, Sci. China Ser. A: Math. 49 (2006), pp. 1683–1695.
[13] A. Korányi and H. M. Riemann, *Horizontal normal vectors and conformal capacity of spehrical rings in the Heisenberg group*, Bull. Sci. Math. Ser. 2 111 (1987), pp. 3–21.
[14] A. Kumar and M. M. Mishra, *Polyharmonic Dirichlet problem on the Heisenberg group*, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. 53 (2008), no. 12, pp. 1103–1110.
[15] S. Thangavelu, *Harmonic Analysis on the Heisenberg Group*, Birkhäuser, Boston, Basel, Berlin, 1998.

Department of Mathematics, University of Delhi, Delhi, India
E-mail address: shvndb@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, University of Delhi, Delhi, India
E-mail address: akumar@maths.du.ac.in

Department of Mathematics, Hans Raj College, University of Delhi, Delhi, India
E-mail address: mukund.math@gmail.com